

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/037,593	KULIG ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
BARBARA N. BURGESS	2457	

All Participants:

(1) BARBARA N. BURGESS.

Status of Application: Amendment

(3) ____.

(2) Ed Radio.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 6 November 2009

Time: Afternoon

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 18-19, 21, 25-27

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's representative Ed Radio to discuss amendments to place the case in condition for allowance. Ed Radio agreed for Examiner to perform an Examiner's Amendment amending claims 1, 18-19, 21, 25 and canceling claims 9-10, 22-23, 27. The amendments are made to avoid 101 issues and 112 issues. The Examiner's Amendment is submitted herewith. .